## MICHIGA WATER RESOURCES CL. AMISSION

TAGILITIES HASI COTTON TIET ON			
1. NAME OF FACILITY AND LOCATION			
The Brown Cryens	lua -	ICNIA	
2. PLANT PRIMARY NO 3 DATE OF VISIT 4. DATE OF PREVIOUS		5. FACILITY STAFF MEMBER CONTACTED	
3 8 9 0	VISIT	Erman Caman	men, into
6. NAME OF CERTIFIED OPERATOR IN CHARGE	,	ES FACILITY REQUIRE	8 PERMIT NO.
·	W (IN	RTIFIED OPERATOR? IDICATE Y OR N BOX)  15	
9. REASON FOR VISIT (INDICATE BY WRITING APPROPRIATE LETTER IN BOX)			
النبية		C. FACILITY REQUEST	D PUBLIC COMPLAINT
6 E. OPERATOR NOT REPORTING F EF	FLUENT FAILING PERMIT I	RESTRICTIONS	G OTHER
10. NARRATIVE DISCUSSION OF VISIT AND RESULTS (INCLUDE ANY NAME CHANGES OR OUTFALL DESCRIPTION CHANGES)			

Staff contacted Irr. Louisman on February 1, 1973, to discuss the status of this company's waste disposal facilities. They manufacture metal stampings for the automobile industry. All of the cooling water is discharged to a drain which connects with the Grand River. This is not treated and these cooling waters are from a closed circuit in the machines which discharge to a drain in the plant.

No extensive oils are employed with the exception of lubrication of the machinery and 55 gallons per year of cutting oils. All domestic waste is discharged to the city sanitary sewer. A bonderizing operation employing Fe3, P04 is continually recycled until spend and then discharged periodically to groundwater.

This did not seem to be a problem with the cooling water drain.

JR:bk

cc: J. Bohunsky

J. Cosens

J. Rossio

Files

EPA Region 5 Records Ctr. 389870

Inspector's Signature

10 EFFLUENT SAMPLE TAKEN?

(INDICATE Y OR N

11 DATE FOLLOW-UP VISIT (IF REQ'D) y y m m d d 12 DATE ACTION REQ'D BY FACILITY y m m d d

, 13 INSPECTOR'S RATING (INTERIM)

(INDICATE A, B, OR I